



**ATTACHEMENT 2: EVALUATION SCORES**

RFP 333: Regional Vision - Scenario Planning

<b>Vendor Name (alphabetical):</b>	<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Price Proposal Amount</b>
KerrSmith Design	1	\$ 299,250.00
ICF Incorporated, LLC	2	\$ 299,810.06
Cambridge Systematics	3	\$ 300,000.00
High Street Consulting Group	4	\$ 299,916.08
SB Friedman Development Advisors LLC	5	\$ 299,942.50
SCB	6	\$ 299,910.00
AECOM	7	\$ 299,998.00
Future iQ	8	\$ 300,000.00
Fourth Economy Consulting, Inc.	9	\$ 299,845.00
Lamar Johnson Collaborative	10	\$ 298,675.00
Partner@WeUsThem*		\$ -

\* CMAP also received what was assumed to be a submission from Partner@WeUsThem, in the form of an 'Outlook Item' attached to an email. The body of the email contained no identifying information, or direction to receive as a submission. CMAP advised Partner@WeUsThem that they would need to send the individual items as attachments for their response to be considered valid. Partner@WeUsThem was unresponsive to this direction and replied with no details in the body of their email and an 'Outlook Item' attachment. CMAP's IT department determined that the body of the email was problematic and flagged it as a possible phishing attack. With no response from Partner@WeUsThem to CMAP inquiries, CMAP determined that opening the response posed too great a risk to CMAP's internal servers and rejected the submission without review.